Chair, Nathan Jones, has called a meeting of the Board of Directors on March 20th, 2019 at 2:00 pm - 4:00 pm in Jack Adams Hall (JAH) in the Cesar Chavez Student Center.

I. Call to Order
Meeting called to order at 2:05 pm.

II. Roll Call
Please refer to the roll call sheet.

III. Approval of Agenda
Motion to approve the agenda for March 20th, 2019.

*The following changes have been made to the agenda:
Add New Business Item g. Green New Deal Resolution.
No opposed. No abstentions. Motion passed.*

No opposed. No abstentions. Motion passed.

IV. Approval of Minutes
Motion to approve the Minutes for March 29th, 2017, March 7th, 2018, April 11th, 2018, September 19th, 2018, January 30th, 2019, and March 6th, 2019.
Moved by Senior Rep, seconded by Corporate Secretary.
No opposed. No abstentions. Motion passed.

V. Public Comment (2 minutes each). Must pertain to jurisdiction of Board. Please observe proper decorum. The board is not required to respond.
A member of the public stated that the main requirements from the BSU is to have an equal amount of students. She asked the Board to have student representatives from the BSU search committee. She had sent out an email the President. She emphasized black student alliance being a collection of all the Black student orgs on campus.

VI. Closed Session Pursuant to Education Code 89307 of the California Code for the purpose of to consider the appointment, employment, and evaluation of performance, discipline, or dismissal of an employee.
No Closed Session

VII. Old Business
No Old Business

VIII. New Business
a. Judicial Council Membership Approval (Action Item) - Chief Justice - 5 minutes
*The Board of Directors will discuss and approve the following students-at-large as justices to the Judicial Council:
1. Landrew Wilford*
Chief Justice stated that Jenna Klaskin recommended her friend

Move to approve Landrew Wilford to the Judicial Council Membership.
Moved by Chief Justice, seconded by Business Rep.
No opposed. No abstentions. Motion passed.

b. CSU Interim Guidance on Title IX Changes (Discussion Item) - Time Certain - 2:30 pm
The Board of Directors will discuss the CSU mandated Title IX changes and Interim Guidance and how the SF State Title IX office is addressing the changes as well as issues students are having with such changes.

SHAC Rep stated that there is a current halt for the office. They are aware that most students are not aware of the subject. VP SAEM stated that they had received approval on Friday for permission to publicly share information. Sarah Lewis, the Assistant Manager of Equity Programs & Compliance, introduced herself. Christine Sadie, the Dean of Equity Programs & Compliance also introduced herself. They had shared the Title IX Investigation and Hearing Process Map to the Board. VP SAEM stated that they had been revision received from the office. There have been lawsuits based on decisions based on campuses that violate fair rights. In response to those cases, SFSU has been asked as a campus to halt any further investigation on an action based on sexual misconduct cases involving students. There are 15 cases in total, being at least 30 people involved. They anticipate that the guidance will come out later this week because there is going to be a Title IX Training. One of the concerns is that if students can still get help even with the halt. She stated that they can still receive help and have even discussed it with the Safe Place on campus. There are certain criteria that is valid for halting. The first criteria is when a student from campus is named, not a staff member. The second criteria is whether the nature of the situation can lead to suspension or expulsion. The third criteria is when witness credibility is essential to the case and that they need to see the person when they make their testimony in person. The case needs to fulfill all three criteria to be halted. RHA Rep asked how many cases have been ruled out.

VP SAEM clarified that 15 have meet that criteria. More have not been halted because the complainant does not want to make it an investigation. They will only have the halting is if the wish is to proceed in the investigation. SHAC Rep stated that they are aware that students whose cases have not been halted have been notified that it has been halted. She also stated that the Board has to be aware of determinants that may not want people to follow through with cases. She recommended to send out an email that is formatted in a way that trigger warnings are given so students who receive it are not caught off guard. VP SAEM discussed the proposed changes of Title IX and the entire process. First, a complaint is filed and they have options of either not pursue for further investigation. If an investigation is made there is an investigator is appointed who is trained in civil rights law through the civil rights investigation model in order to avoid unconscious bias. They make a determination if university policy has been violated. From there, the case will switch to a hearing model. They will only investigate the facts and then make a draft report that will be reviewed by both the complainant and the respondent. Both the complainant and the respondent will be able to create questions based off of the report. The questions will be asked by the investigator where they will determine if these questions are appropriate for the case. They will ask the parties that they need to ask for the answers. They will update the fact finding report and submit it to each party until they can both agree on the report. From there, a hearing will be conducted by someone who is not the investigator, or the hearing officer. Someone who is not the investigator of the hearing officer will serve as the hearing coordinator. At the hearing, the hearing officer will give everyone an opportunity to tell their story and if new evidence emerges that is not available when the investigation takes place, there is an opportunity again to ask other questions from the party. The parties will not directly address each other when asking questions but instead, will communicate them to the hearing officer who will interpret the questions to the other parties. When the hearing is completed, the hearing officer will make a report that affirms the facts and
will be shared by both parties and from there the officer will make a recommendation to make a sanction. The entire party can be appealed by either party. The people doing this will be trained and March 26th, 2019 is the first training. **VPSAEM** stated that early resolution is one of the options in lieu of the investigation on the request of the complainant. It is possible that respondents make an early resolution as well. **RHA Rep** asked if there will be any administrative outreach after the process. **VPSAEM** stated that when the final draft of the interim policy guidance comes out, she will email all students about it. **SHAC Rep** wanted to discuss the halting of investigations currently going as well as a timeline of the process. January 4th is when the USC court ruling took place. January 10th, a CSU wide memo was released. On February 8th, Cal Poly notified students from the office of the President about the halting. On February 11th, Sac State had a notification about the halt. There has still not been any notification about the halting within SFSU. **VPSAEM** stated that Title IX processes have not been halted and students are still being served regarding sexual assault. **RHA Rep** stated that all students have the right to know about the halting because it affects all students on campus. **VPSAEM** stated that there will be an email sent out campus-wide when a policy is completed. **VP of External Affairs** mentioned that the Board should have known that there is a difference in the process occurring. **VPSAEM** stated that SAEM had the clear guidance that it was not sharable to the public at the time. **Corporate Secretary** asked if the changes affect staff and faculty. **VPSAEM** stated that it is only for students. They will share a link to the FAQ page, a copy of the process map, and a summary at where they are currently are at that will go to all the college deans and department chairs. **SHAC Rep** asked why SFSU was not in contact with the timeline. **VP of University Affairs** recommended to state in the email that the SAEM office was not legally allowed to release this information prior to the email. **Grad Rep** suggested having a date set for the email to go out. **VPSAEM** stated that after Spring Break, there will be a notice once the SAEM is able to figure out how to word the email. **Sophomore Rep** suggested to have the Chancellor’s office take initiative since it is their responsibility. She also suggested that AS can be able to spread this information via social media. She asked who to reach out to in order to do this. **VPSAEM** stated that everyone is free to share the information given in the meeting. She also stated that they had to wait for the Education Department. **RHA Rep** asked if SFSU and university information was aware of releasing info. He also asked if there was any info between SFSU and other CSUs about how to share this information. **VPSAEM** stated that there was no consultation with other CSUs. **RHA Rep** stated that it is not to be released. **VP of Facilities & Services** asked how often there will be Title IX trainings. **VPSAEM** stated that the entire team goes to Title IX training every year. SAEM has their own training along with the regular Title IX training. **VP of Facilities & Services** asked if UPD can get more training per year. **VPSAEM** stated that they have multiple trainings specific for them. **SHAC Rep** brought up how SFSU should be able to release the information anyways because the campus is known for activism. She proposed that multiple emails be sent out for the halting of investigations despite any possible backlash. **VP of External Affairs** expressed his opinion to not send out an email as of now. He stated that this is an example of how many students at SFSU does not feel supported. He stated that if an email is sent out now it may be confusing but there are alternative ways to support students. **VP of University Affairs** recommended hiring legal representation. **VPSAEM** stated that the investigation was faulty because when the interview was completed their validity was in question. **President** reminded the Board that the whole point of this item is to have students to know. He expressed his opinion on students’ rights to know about the process. It is not about the specific cases but that it is happening in general. **SHAC Rep** stated that she supports having an email sent out to support students who have been affected by sexual assault. By not doing this, it shows that SFSU is okay with not supporting their students. She stated the lack of action will be seen by other campuses. **Corporate Secretary** stated she does not support showing the information on social media but she supports on sending out a mass email on the issue. **VPSAEM** expressed how she felt that the SAEM team have made the right decision.

Motion to go back into the orders of the day to New Business Item f.

No opposed. No abstentions. Motion passed.

c. AS Sponsorship for College of Ethnic Studies 50th Anniversary Apparel (Discussion Item) - Ethnic Studies Rep - 15 minutes
   The Board of Directors will discuss the potential sponsorship of the College of Ethnic Studies for 50th Anniversary commemorative apparel.

   **Athletics Rep, VP of Facilities & Services, and VP of Finance left 3:55 pm.**

   Motion to make task this item to the Finance Committee.
   Moved by VP of External Affairs, seconded by VP of Finance.
   
   VPSAEM stated that last year’s Board wanted to move toward working with an existing committee. The committee should hear it first before it goes to the Board. **Interim Executive Director** confirmed this process. VP of External Affairs stated that the Finance committee can look over it. Tabling the item does not allow the Finance Committee to discuss this in their meetings.

   No opposed. One abstention (Science & Engineering Rep). Motion passed.

d. Attendance Petition Submissions - SHAC Rep (Action Item) - Corporate Secretary - 5 minutes
   The Board of Directors will discuss and approve Attendance Policy petitions from various BOD members.

   Motion to approve the Attendance Petition for SHAC Rep.
   Moved Corporate Secretary, seconded RHA Rep.
   No opposed. No abstentions. Motion passed.

e. Risk Management Policy Updates (Discussion Item) - Compliance Coordinator - 10 minutes
   The Board of Directors will discuss changes that need to be made to the AS Risk Management Policy.

   **Corporate Secretary left 3:59 pm.**

   Motion to table New Business Item e to next meeting.
   Moved by Sophomore Rep, seconded by RHA Rep.
   No opposed. No abstentions. Motion passed.

   -tabled to next meeting-

f. T3 Student Organization Funding Requests (Action Item) - VP of Finance - 10 minutes
   The Board of Directors will discuss, review, and approve the T3 Student Organization Funding requests as a recommendation from the Finance Committee.
   1. Asian Student Union: Historical Event: “Asian Pacific Islander Heritage Month” - $4,000
   2. Black Student Union: Historical Event: “Black Family Day/Black Men’s/Women’s Appreciation/Hip Hop Unity Jam” - $4,000

   The Asian Student Union has proposed their agenda and their request. They are asking for speakers and performers.

   Motion to approve $4,000 for the Asian Student Union Historical Event “Asian Pacific
Islander Heritage Month.  
Moved by VP of Finance, seconded by Corporate Secretary.  
No opposed. One abstention (Student Trust Officer). Motion passed.  

The BSU is having their event occur on two separate dates.  

Motion to approve $4,000 for the Black Student Union Historical Event “Black Family Day/Black Men’s/Women’s Appreciation/Hip Hop Unity Jam.  
Moved by VP of Finance, seconded by RHA Rep.  
No opposed. One abstention (Student Trust Officer). Motion passed.  

g. Green New Deal  
Monica DiLullo, Director of ERC, and Harry, Office Assistant, discussed the Green New Deal. The main goals is to achieve net zero greenhouse gas emissions, create jobs in the renewable sector, invest in more green infrastructure and industry, secure clean air, water, and resiliency through climate change, and promote justice and equity through infrastructure improvement and the creation of new jobs.  

*SHAC Rep left 3:44 pm.*  

The purpose of the resolution is to have SFSU as an institution support the creation of the Green New Deal and to make this the main focus of the 2020 election at SFSU. They also asked for AS to address the impacts of climate change, that the Green New Deal is the best option, to sign a coalition to support the creation of the Green New Deal, and to send a letter to Nancy Pelosi to ask her to take further action.  

*SHAC Rep entered 3:46 pm.*  

Sophomore Rep suggested to have Dianne Feinstein and Kamala Harris. VP of External Affairs volunteered to work with them to make a few edits. He suggested to have an action plan. Director of ERC stated that this is to come together to take action. The ERC has already made their own action plan. VP of External Affairs stated that this could be completed in June for CSSA. Corporate Secretary asked if AS is allowed to do this since they are partisan. Director of ERC stated that this is non-partisan. VP of University Affairs suggested replacing the word “color” to “underserved communities”. Director of ERC stated that anyone has questions or comments, they can email her, VP of External Affairs, or VP of Facilities & Services.  

Motion to go into back into the orders of the day to New Business Item c.  
Moved by VP of External, seconded Corporate Secretary.  
No opposed. No abstentions. Motion passed.  

IX. Reports  
Reports sent via email  

X. Announcements (2 minutes each). Please submit literature to Chair when meeting begins.  
RHA Rep stated that Spring Break is next week.  

XI. Adjournment  

Motion to adjourn meeting at 4:01 pm.  
No opposed. No abstentions. Motion passed.  
Meeting adjourned by consensus.
Respectfully submitted by: Johanan Supnet

Approved by: Ivy Tran